

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/699,419	10/31/2003	Anush Kumar	MSFT-2761/302030.1	2077
23377 7590 I0/14/2008 WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP CIRA CENTRE, 12TH FLOOR 2020 ARCH STREET PHILADELPHIA. PA 19104-2891			EXAMINER	
			ANDERSON, FOLASHADE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3623	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/14/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 10/699,419
 KUMAR ET AL.

 Examiner
 Art Unit

 FOLASHADE ANDERSON
 3623

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTC	O personnel):				
(1) <u>FOLASHADE ANDERSON</u> .	(3)Andre Boyce (USPTO).				
(2) <u>Tom Van Zandt</u> .	(4) <u>Bryan Gilles</u> .				
Date of Interview: 10/02/2008.					
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2) applicant's representative]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.				
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .					
Identification of prior art discussed: Gleason et al (US 2003/0195762 A1).					
Agreement with respect to the claims f) $\hfill \square$ was reached.	g)⊠ was not reached. h)  N/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments. Applicants presented proposed changes to overcome the 35 USC 103 rejections. Applicants and the Examiners discussed proposed amendments to the claims, and the Examiners indicated that further consideration and an updated search of the prior art would be necessary once the amendment has been submitted.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS TROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.					
/Andre Boyce/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3623					